

ACYCLIC EDGE-COLORING OF PLANAR GRAPHS*

MANU BASAVARAJU[†], L. SUNIL CHANDRAN[†], NATHANN COHEN[‡], FRÉDÉRIC HAVET[‡], AND
TOBIAS MÜLLER[§]

Abstract. A proper edge-coloring with the property that every cycle contains edges of at least three distinct colors is called an *acyclic edge-coloring*. The *acyclic chromatic index* of a graph G , denoted $\chi'_a(G)$, is the minimum k such that G admits an *acyclic edge-coloring* with k colors. We conjecture that if G is planar and $\Delta(G)$ is large enough, then $\chi'_a(G) = \Delta(G)$. We settle this conjecture for planar graphs with girth at least 5. We also show that $\chi'_a(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 12$ for all planar G , which improves a previous result by Fiedorowicz, Haluszczak, and Narayan [*Inform. Process. Lett.*, 108 (2008), pp. 412–417].

Key words. edge-coloring, planar graphs, bounded density graphs

AMS subject classification. 05C15

DOI. 10.1137/090776676

1. Introduction. A proper edge-coloring with the property that every cycle contains edges of at least three distinct colors is called an *acyclic edge-coloring*. The *acyclic chromatic index* of a graph G , denoted $\chi'_a(G)$, is the minimum k such that G admits an *acyclic edge-coloring* with k colors. Fiamčík [9] and later Alon, Sudakov, and Zaks [2] conjecture that $\Delta(G) + 2$ colors are enough.

CONJECTURE 1.1 (Fiamčík [9] and Alon, Sudakov, and Zaks [2]). *For every graph G , $\chi'_a(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 2$.*

This conjecture would be tight, as there are cases where more than $\Delta + 1$ colors are needed. Consider, for example, a graph G on $2n$ vertices with at least $2n^2 - 2n + 2$ edges. The union of two perfect matchings is a cycle factor and thus contains a cycle. Thus, in an acyclic edge-coloring, at most one color class contains n edges. Hence there are at least $1 + \lceil \frac{2n^2 - 3n + 2}{n - 1} \rceil = 2n + 1$ colors. So $\chi'_a(G) \geq \Delta(G) + 2$.

Clearly, every graph with maximum degree at most 2 has acyclic chromatic index at most 3. If $\Delta(G) \leq 3$, then its line-graph $L(G)$ has maximum degree at most 4. Thus by Burnstein's results [7] $\chi_a(L(G)) \leq 5$, and so $\chi'_a(G) \leq 5$. So Conjecture 1.1 holds for $\Delta(G) \leq 3$. In 1980, Fiamčík [10] conjectured that K_4 is the only cubic graph requiring five colors in an acyclic edge-coloring (and actually gave an incorrect proof of it). More generally, Alon, Sudakov, and Zaks [2] conjectured that if G is a Δ -regular graph, then $\chi'_a(G) = \Delta + 1$ unless $G = K_{2n}$.

However, as noted by Fiamčík [11], these two conjectures are false, as $\chi'_a(K_{3,3}) = 5$. In addition, Basavaraju, Chandran, and Kummini [5] showed that all d -regular graphs with $2n$ vertices and $d > n$ require at least $d + 2$ colors to be acyclically edge-colored and for every odd n , $\chi'_a(K_{n,n}) = n + 2$. They also showed that for every d, n such that $d \geq 5$,

*Received by the editors November 9, 2009; accepted for publication (in revised form) February 9, 2011; published electronically June 24, 2011. This work was achieved independently by two different teams: M. Basavaraju and L. S. Chandran, and N. Cohen, F. Havet and T. Müller. It was combined based on the advice of the editor.

<http://www.siam.org/journals/sidma/25-2/77667.html>

[†]Computer Science and Automation Department, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560012, India (iammanu@gmail.com, sunil@csa.iisc.ernet.iscf).

[‡]Projet Mascotte, I3S(CNRS, UNSA) and INRIA, 06902 Sophia-Antipolis Cedex, France (Nathann.Cohen@sophia.inria.fr, fhavet@sophia.inria.fr). Partially supported by the ANR Blanc AGAPE.

[§]Schools of Mathematics, Raymond and Beverly Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel. Research partially supported by an ERC advanced grant. (tobias@cw.nl).

$n \geq 2d + 3$, and dn even, there exist d -regular graphs which require at least $d + 2$ colors to be acyclically edge-colored.

Alon, Sudakov, and Zaks [2] showed that Conjecture 1.1 is true for almost all regular graphs. This was later improved by Nešetřil and Wormald [19] who proved that the acyclic edge-chromatic number of a random Δ -regular graph is asymptotically almost surely equal to $\Delta + 1$. Alon, McDiarmid, and Reed [1] showed an upper bound of $64\Delta(G)$ for $\chi'_a(G)$, which was later improved to $16\Delta(G)$ by Molloy and Reed [16]. For graphs with large girth, better upper bounds are known. Muthu, Narayanan, and Subramanian [17] showed that if G has girth at least 9, then $\chi'_a(G) \leq 6\Delta(G)$, and if it has girth at least 220, then $\chi'_a(G) \leq 4.52\Delta(G)$. Finally, Alon, Sudakov, and Saks also showed that Conjecture 1.1 is true for graphs with girth at least $C\Delta \log(\Delta)$ for some fixed constant C .

Muthu, Narayanan, and Subramanian [18] proved that $\chi'_a(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$ for outerplanar graphs. Fiedorowicz, Haluszczak, and Narayanan [12] proved that $\chi'_a(G) \leq 2\Delta(G) + 29$ if G is planar and $\chi'_a(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 6$ if G is planar and triangle-free. This bound has been improved for planar graphs with larger girth. Recall that the *girth* of a graph is the minimum length of a cycle it contains or $+\infty$ if it has no cycles. Hou et al. [14] showed that if G is a planar graph G , then $\chi'_a(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 2$ if G has girth at least 5, $\chi'_a(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$ if G has girth at least 7, and $\chi'_a(G) \leq \Delta(G)$ if G has girth at least 16 and $\Delta(G) \geq 3$.

Sanders and Zhao [20] showed that planar graphs with maximum degree $\Delta \geq 7$ have chromatic index Δ . A conjecture of Vizing [21] asserts that planar graphs of maximum degree 6 are also 6-edge-colorable. This would be best possible, as for any $\Delta \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$, there are some planar graphs with maximum degree Δ with chromatic index $\Delta + 1$ [21].

We propose a conjecture analogous to the above one of Vizing.

CONJECTURE 1.2. *There exists Δ_0 such that every planar graph with maximum degree $\Delta \geq \Delta_0$ has an acyclic edge-coloring with Δ colors.*

In this paper, we give some evidences to this conjecture. First, in section 2, we show that every planar graph G has an acyclic edge-coloring with $\Delta(G) + 12$ colors, thus improving the $2\Delta(G) + 29$ bound of Fiedorowicz, Haluszczak, and Narayanan [12]. In section 3, we show that Conjecture 1.2 holds for planar graphs of girth at least 5 (with $\Delta_0 = 19$), thus improving the results of Hou et al. [14] and Borowiecki and Fiedorowicz [6]. More generally, we settle Conjecture 1.2 for graphs with maximum average degree less than $4 - \epsilon$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. The *maximum average degree* of G is $\text{Mad}(G) = \max\{\frac{2|E(H)|}{|V(H)|} \mid H \text{ is a subgraph of } G\}$. It is well known that a planar graph of girth g has maximum average degree less than $2 + \frac{4}{g-2}$. Conjecture 1.2 holds for outer planar graphs with $\Delta_0 = 5$ as shown by Hou et al. [15]. Note that $\sup\{\text{Mad}(G) \mid G \text{ is outerplanar}\} = 4$.

Our proofs are constructive and yield efficient polynomial time algorithms. We present the proofs in a nonalgorithmic way. But it is easy to extract the underlying algorithms from them.

2. Planar graphs. In this section we will prove the following result.

THEOREM 2.1. $\chi'_a(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 12$ for all planar graphs G .

The proof of Theorem 2.1 relies on the following theorem of van den Heuvel and McGuinness [13], which establishes a set of unavoidable configurations in planar graphs.

LEMMA 2.2. (van den Heuvel and McGuinness [13]). *Let G be a planar graph with minimum degree at least two. Then there exists a vertex v in G with exactly $d(v) = k$ neighbors v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k with $d(v_1) \leq d(v_2) \leq \dots \leq d(v_k)$ such that at least one of the following is true:*

- (A1) $k = 2$,
 (A2) $k = 3$ and $d(v_1) \leq 11$,
 (A3) $k = 4$ and $d(v_1) \leq 7$, $d(v_2) \leq 11$,
 (A4) $k = 5$ and $d(v_1) \leq 6$, $d(v_2) \leq 7$, $d(v_3) \leq 11$.
- Sketch of the proof of Theorem 2.1. Let G be a minimum counterexample with respect to the number of vertices and edges for the statement in Theorem 2.1. Trivially G has minimum degree at least 2. Indeed, it has no vertex v of degree 0 because any acyclic edge-coloring of $G - v$ is an acyclic edge-coloring of G , and it has no vertex v with a unique neighbor u , since any acyclic edge-coloring of $G - v$ on at least $\Delta(G)$ colors may be extended to an acyclic edge-coloring of G by assigning to uv a color not already assigned to an edge incident to u . From Lemma 2.2, we know that there exists a vertex v in G such that it belongs to one of the configurations A1–A4. If there is a configuration A2, A3 and A4 in G , we show in subsection 2.2 how to derive an acyclic edge-coloring with $\Delta(G) + 12$ colors of G from one of $G \setminus vv_1$. Hence, we assume that there are no such configurations. In such case, we select an appropriate edge uu' and show again how to derive an acyclic edge-coloring of G with $\Delta(G) + 12$ colors from one of $G \setminus uu'$. This gives a final contradiction. See subsection 2.3.

In order to show how to extend an acyclic edge-coloring of $G \setminus e$ for some edge e into an acyclic edge-coloring of G we first establish some preliminaries.

2.1. Preliminaries. *Partial edge-coloring.* Let H be a subgraph of G . Then an edge-coloring c' of H is also a *partial edge-coloring* of G . Note that H can be G itself. Thus an edge-coloring c of G itself can be considered a partial edge-coloring. A partial edge-coloring c of G is said to be a *proper partial edge-coloring* if c is proper. A proper partial edge-coloring c is called *acyclic* if there are no bichromatic cycles in the graph. Note that with respect to a partial edge-coloring c , $c(e)$ may not be defined for an edge e . So, whenever we use $c(e)$, we are considering an edge e for which $c(e)$ is defined, though we may not always explicitly mention it.

Let c be a partial edge-coloring of G . We denote the set of colors in c by $C = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. For any vertex $u \in V(G)$, we define $F_u(c) = \{c(uz) | z \in N_G(u)\}$, where $N_G(u)$ denotes the set of vertices adjacent to u . For an edge $ab \in E$, we define $S_{ab}(c) = F_b(c) - \{c(ab)\}$. Note that $S_{ab}(c)$ need not be the same as $S_{ba}(c)$. We will abbreviate the notation to F_u and S_{ab} when the edge-coloring c is understood from the context.

The following definitions arise out of our attempt to understand what may prevent us from extending a partial edge-coloring of $G \setminus e$ to G .

Maximal bichromatic path. An (α, β) -maximal bichromatic path with respect to a partial edge-coloring c of G is a maximal path consisting of edges that are colored using the colors α and β alternately. An (α, β, a, b) -maximal bichromatic path is an (α, β) -maximal bichromatic path which starts at the vertex a with an edge colored α and ends at b . We emphasize that the edge of the (α, β, a, b) -maximal bichromatic path incident on vertex a is colored α , and the edge incident on vertex b can be colored either α or β . Thus the notations (α, β, a, b) and (α, β, b, a) have different meanings. Also note that any maximal bichromatic path will have at least two edges. The following fact is obvious from the definition of proper edge-coloring.

FACT 2.3. *Given a pair of colors α and β of a proper edge-coloring c of G , there is at most one maximal (α, β) -bichromatic path containing a particular vertex v , with respect to c .*

A color $\alpha \neq c(e)$ is a candidate for an edge e in G with respect to a partial edge-coloring c of G if none of the adjacent edges of e is colored α . A candidate color α is *valid* for an edge e if assigning the color α to e does not result in any bichromatic cycle in G .

Let $e = ab$ be an edge in G . Note that any color $\beta \notin F_a \cup F_b$ is a candidate color for the edge ab in G with respect to the partial edge-coloring c of G . A sufficient condition for a candidate color being valid is captured in the lemma below.

LEMMA 2.4. (Basavaraju and Chandran [4]). *A candidate color for an edge $e = ab$ is valid if $(F_a(c) \cap F_b(c)) \setminus \{c(ab)\} = S_{ab}(c) \cap S_{ba}(c) = \emptyset$.*

Now even if $S_{ab}(c) \cap S_{ba}(c) \neq \emptyset$, a candidate color β may be valid. But if β is not valid, then what may be the reason? It is clear that color β is not *valid* if and only if there exists $\alpha \neq \beta$ such that a (α, β) -bichromatic cycle gets formed if we assign color β to the edge e ; in other words, if and only if, with respect to edge-coloring c of G , there existed an (α, β, a, b) -maximal bichromatic path with α being the color given to the first and last edge of this path. Such paths play an important role in our proofs. We call them *critical paths*. It is formally defined below.

Critical path. Let $ab \in E$ and c be a partial edge-coloring of G . Then an (α, β, a, b) -maximal bichromatic path which starts out from the vertex a via an edge colored α and ends at the vertex b via an edge colored α is called an (α, β, a, b) -critical path. Note that any critical path will be of odd length. Moreover, the smallest length possible is three.

Let $a \in N_{G \setminus v_1}(x)$ and let $c(x, a) = \alpha$. Let $\beta \in S_{xa}$. Color β is said to be *actively present* in a set S_{xa} if there exists an (α, β, xy) critical path.

A natural strategy to extend a acyclic partial edge-coloring c of G would be to try to assign one of the candidate colors to an uncolored edge e . The condition that a candidate color is not valid for the edge e is captured in the following fact.

FACT 2.5. *Let c be a partial edge-coloring of G . A candidate color β is not valid for the edge $e = ab$ if and only if for some color $\alpha \in S_{ab} \cap S_{ba}$, there is an (α, β, a, b) -critical path in G with respect to c .*

Color exchange. Let c be a partial edge-coloring of G . Let $u, v, w \in V(G)$ and $uv, uw \in E(G)$. We define *color exchange* with respect to the edge uv and uw , as the modification of the current partial edge-coloring c by exchanging the colors of the edges uv and uw to get a partial edge-coloring c' ; i.e., $c'(uv) = c(uw)$, $c'(uw) = c(uv)$, and $c'(e) = c(e)$ for all other edges e in G . The color exchange with respect to the edges uv and uw is said to be *proper* (resp., *acyclic*) if the edge-coloring obtained after the exchange is proper (resp., acyclic). The following fact is obvious.

FACT 2.6. *Let c' be the partial edge-coloring obtained from an acyclic partial edge-coloring c by the color exchange with respect to the edges uv and uw . Then c' is proper if and only if $c(uv) \notin S_{uw}$ and $c(uw) \notin S_{uv}$.*

The color exchange is useful in breaking some critical paths, as is clear from the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.7. (Basavaraju and Chandran [4] and [3]). *Let u, v, w, a , and b be vertices of G such that uv, uw , and ab are edges. Also let α and β be two colors such that $\{\alpha, \beta\} \cap \{c(uv), c(uw)\} \neq \emptyset$ and $\{v, w\} \cap \{a, b\} = \emptyset$. Suppose there exists an (α, β, a, b) -critical path that contains vertex u , with respect to an acyclic partial edge-coloring c of G . Let c' be the partial edge-coloring obtained from c by the color exchange with respect to the edges uv and uw . If c' is proper, then there is no (α, β, a, b) -critical path in G with respect to c' .*

Multisets and multiset operations. Recall that a *multiset* is a generalized set where a member can appear multiple times. If an element x appears t times in the multiset S , then we say that the *multiplicity* of x in S is t . In notation $\text{mult}_S(x) = t$. The cardinality of a finite multiset S , denoted by $\|S\|$, is defined as $\|S\| = \sum_{x \in S} \text{mult}_S(x)$. Let S_1 and S_2 be two multisets. The reader may note that there are various possible ways to define the union of S_1 and S_2 . For the purpose of this paper we define one such union notion which we call the *join* of S_1 and S_2 , denoted as $S_1 \uplus S_2$. The multiset $S_1 \uplus S_2$ have all the members of S_1 as well as S_2 . For a member $x \in S_1 \uplus S_2$, $\text{mult}_{S_1 \uplus S_2}(x) = \text{mult}_{S_1}(x) + \text{mult}_{S_2}(x)$. Clearly $\|S_1 \uplus S_2\| = \|S_1\| + \|S_2\|$.

2.2. There exists a configuration A2, A3, or A4. We now can resume the proof of Theorem 2.1. Suppose by way of contradiction that there exists a configuration A2, A3, or A4 in G . Let v, v_1, v_2 , and v_3 be the vertices as described in Lemma 2.2.

In all the propositions of this subsection, we start with an acyclic edge-coloring c' of $G \setminus vv_1$. So the abbreviations F_u and S_{ab} stand for $F_u(c')$ and $S_{ab}(c')$, respectively.

PROPOSITION 2.8. *For any acyclic edge-coloring c' of $G \setminus vv_1$, $|F_v \cap F_{v_1}| \geq 2$.*

Proof. Suppose by way of contradiction that there is an acyclic edge-coloring c' of $G \setminus vv_1$ with a set C of $\Delta + 12$ colors such that $|F_v \cap F_{v_1}| \leq 1$.

Assume first that $|F_v \cap F_{v_1}| = 0$. The reader can verify from close examination of configurations A2, A3, and A4 that $|F_v \cup F_{v_1}|$ will be maximum for configuration A2, and therefore $|F_v \cup F_{v_1}| = |F_v| + |F_{v_1}| \leq 2 + 10 = 12$. Thus there are Δ candidate colors for the edge vv_1 , and by Lemma 2.4 all the candidate colors are valid, a contradiction to the assumption that G is a counterexample.

Assume now that $|F_v \cap F_{v_1}| = 1$. It is easy to see that $|F_v \cup F_{v_1}| = |F_v| + |F_{v_1}| - |F_v \cap F_{v_1}| \leq 11$, and hence there are at least $\Delta + 1$ candidate colors for the edge vv_1 . Let $F_v \cap F_{v_1} = \{\alpha\}$, and let $u \in N(v)$ be a vertex such that $c'(vu) = \alpha$. Now if none of the $\Delta + 1$ candidate colors is valid for the edge vv_1 , then by Fact 2.5, for each $\gamma \in C \setminus (F_v \cup F_{v_1})$, there exists an (α, γ, v, v_1) -critical path. Since $c'(vu) = \alpha$, we have all the critical paths passing through the vertex u , and hence $S_{vu} \subseteq C \setminus (F_v \cup F_{v_1})$. This implies that $|S_{vu}| \geq |C \setminus (F_v \cup F_{v_1})| \geq (\Delta + 12) - 11 = \Delta + 1$, a contradiction since $|S_{vu}| \leq \Delta - 1$. Thus we have a valid color for the edge vv_1 , a contradiction to the assumption that G is a counterexample. \square

Let S_v be the multiset defined by $S_v = S_{vv_2} \uplus S_{vv_3} \uplus \dots \uplus S_{vv_k}$.

PROPOSITION 2.9. *For any acyclic edge-coloring c' of $G \setminus vv_1$, $|F_v \cap F_{v_1}| \neq 2$.*

Proof. Suppose not. Let $F_v \cap F_{v_1} = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$, and let $v', v'' \in N_{G \setminus vv_1}(v)$ and $u', u'' \in N_{G \setminus vv_1}(v_1)$ be such that $c'(vv') = c'(v_1u') = \alpha_1$ and $c'(vv'') = c'(v_1u'') = \alpha_2$. It is easy to see that $|F_v \cup F_{v_1}| \leq 10$. Thus there are at least $\Delta + 2$ candidate colors for the edge vv_1 . If any of the candidate colors is valid for the edge vv_1 , we are done. Thus none of the candidate colors is valid for the edge vv_1 . This implies that there exists an $(\alpha_1, \theta, v, v_1)$ - or $(\alpha_2, \theta, v, v_1)$ -critical path for each candidate color θ .

CLAIM 1. *The multiset S_v contains at least $|F_{v_1}| - 1$ colors from F_{v_1} .*

Proof. Suppose not. Then there are at least two colors in F_{v_1} which are not in S_v . Let ν and μ be any two such colors. Now assign colors ν and μ to the edges vv' and vv'' , respectively, to get an edge-coloring c'' . Now since $\nu, \mu \notin S_{v'}$, we have $\nu \notin S_{vv'}$ and $\mu \notin S_{vv''}$. Moreover, $\mu, \nu \notin F_v(c'') \setminus \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$. Thus the edge-coloring c'' is proper. Now we claim that the edge-coloring c'' is acyclic also. Suppose not. Then there has to be a bichromatic cycle containing at least one of the colors ν and μ . Clearly this cannot be a (ν, μ) -bichromatic cycle since $\mu \notin S_{vv'}$. Therefore it has to be a (ν, λ) - or (μ, λ) -bichromatic cycle, where $\lambda \in F_v(c'') \setminus \{\nu, \mu\}$. Let u be a vertex such that $c''(vu) = \lambda$. This means that there was already a (λ, ν, v, v') - or (λ, μ, v, v'') -critical path

with respect to the edge-coloring c' . This implies that $v \in S_{vu}$ or $\mu \in S_{vu}$, implying that $v \in S_v$ or $\mu \in S_v$, a contradiction. Thus the edge-coloring c'' is acyclic. Let $u_1, u_2 \in N_{G \setminus vv_1}(v_1)$ be such that $c''(v_1 u_1) = v$ and $c''(v_1 u_2) = \mu$.

Note that $|F_v \cup F_{v_1}| \leq 10$ (the maximum value of $|F_v \cup F_{v_1}|$ is attained when the graph has configuration A2). Therefore there are at least $\Delta + 2$ candidate colors for the edge vv_1 . If any of the candidate colors are valid for the edge vv_1 , then we are done, as this is a contradiction to the assumption that G is a counterexample. Thus none of the candidate colors is valid for the edge vv_1 , and therefore there exist either a (v, θ, v, v_1) -critical or a (μ, θ, v, v_1) -critical path for each candidate color θ . Let C_v and C_μ , respectively, be the set of candidate colors which are forming critical paths with colors v and μ . Then clearly $C_v \subseteq S_{v_1 u_1}$ and $C_\mu \subseteq S_{v_1 u_2}$ since $c''(v_1 u_1) = v$ and $c''(v_1 u_2) = \mu$. Now we exchange the colors of the edges vv' and vv'' to get a modified edge-coloring c . Note that c is proper since $\mu \notin S_{vv'}$ and $v \notin S_{vv''}$. By Lemma 2.7, all (v, β, v, v_1) -critical paths where $\beta \in C_v$ and all (μ, γ, v, v_1) -critical paths where $\gamma \in C_\mu$ are broken. Now if none of the colors in C_v are valid for edge vv_1 , then it means that for each $\beta \in C_v$, there exists a (μ, β, v, v_1) -critical path with respect to the edge-coloring c , implying that $C_v \subseteq S_{v_1 u_2}$. Since the recoloring involved no candidate colors, we still have $C_\mu \subseteq S_{v_1 u_2}$. Thus we have $(C_v \cup C_\mu) \subseteq S_{v_1 u_2}$. But $|C_v \cup C_\mu| \geq \Delta + 2$, which implies that $|S_{v_1 u_2}| \geq \Delta + 2$, a contradiction since $|S_{v_1 u_2}| \leq \Delta - 1$. \square

CLAIM 2. *There exists at least two colors β_1 and β_2 in $C \setminus F_{v_1}$ with multiplicity at most one in S_v .*

Proof. In view of Claim 1 we have $\sum_{x \in C \setminus F_v} \text{mult}_{S_v}(x) = \|S_v\| - (|F_v| - 1)$. Thus if $\|S_v\| - (|F_{v_1}| - 1) \leq 2(|C \setminus F_{v_1}|) - 3$, then there exist at least two colors β_1 and β_2 in $C \setminus F_{v_1}$ with multiplicity at most one in S_v . Thus it is enough to prove $\|S_v\| \leq 2|C| - |F_{v_1}| - 4 \leq 2\Delta + 24 - |F_{v_1}| - 4 = 2\Delta + 20 - |F_{v_1}|$. Now we can easily verify that $\|S_v\| + |F_{v_1}| \leq 2\Delta + 20$ for configurations A2, A3, and A4 as follows:

- For A2, $\|S_v\| + |F_{v_1}| \leq (d(v_2) - 1) + (d(v_3) - 1) + |F_{v_1}| = (\Delta - 1) + (\Delta - 1) + 10 = 2\Delta + 8$.
- For A3, $\|S_v\| + |F_{v_1}| \leq (d(v_2) - 1) + (d(v_3) - 1) + (d(v_4) - 1) + |F_{v_1}| = 10 + (\Delta - 1) + (\Delta - 1) + 6 = 2\Delta + 14$.
- For A4, $\|S_v\| + |F_{v_1}| \leq (d(v_2) - 1) + (d(v_3) - 1) + (d(v_4) - 1) + (d(v_5) - 1) + |F_{v_1}| = 6 + 10 + (\Delta - 1) + (\Delta - 1) + 5 = 2\Delta + 19$. \square

The colors β_1 and β_2 of Claim 2 are crucial to the proof. Now we make another claim regarding β_1 and β_2 .

CLAIM 3. *β_1 and $\beta_2 \in F_v$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, let $\beta_1 \notin F_v$. Then recalling that $\beta_1 \notin F_{v_1}$, β_1 is a candidate for the edge vv_1 . If it is not valid, then there exists either an $(\alpha_1, \beta_1, vv_1)$ - or $(\alpha_2, \beta_1, v, v_1)$ -critical path with respect to c' . Since the multiplicity of β_1 in S_v is at most one, we have the color β_1 in exactly one of $S_{vv'}$ or $S_{vv''}$. Without loss of generality, let $\beta_1 \in S_{vv''}$. Hence there exists an $(\alpha_2, \beta_1, v, v_1)$ -critical path with respect to c' .

Now recolor the edge vv' with color β_1 to get an edge-coloring c . Then c is proper since $\beta_1 \notin F_v$ and $\beta_1 \notin S_{vv'}$. We shall prove that c is acyclic. Suppose, by way of contradiction, that there is a bichromatic cycle with respect to c . Then it has to be a (β_1, γ) -bichromatic cycle for some $\gamma \in F_v(c) \setminus c(vv')$. Let $a \in N_{G \setminus vv_1}(v)$ be such that $c(va) = \gamma$. Then the (β_1, γ) -bichromatic cycle should contain the edge va , and therefore $\gamma \in S_{va}(c)$. But we know that v'' is the only vertex in $N_{G \setminus vv_1}(v)$ such that $\beta_1 \in S_{vv''}$. Therefore $a = v''$. This implies that $\gamma = \alpha_2$ and that there existed an $(\alpha_2, \beta_1, v, v')$ -critical path with respect to the edge-coloring c' . This is a contradiction to Fact 2.3 since there already existed an $(\alpha_2, \beta_1, v, v_1)$ -critical path with respect to the edge-coloring c' .

Thus the edge-coloring c is acyclic and $|F_v(c) \cap F_{v_1}(c)| = 1$, a contradiction to Proposition 2.8.

Note that $\{\beta_1, \beta_2\} \cap \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\} = \emptyset$ since $\beta_1, \beta_2 \notin F_{v_1}$. In view of Claim 3, we have $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2\} \subseteq F_v$, and thus $|F_v| \geq 4$, which implies that $d(v) \geq 5$. Thus the vertex v belongs to configuration A4. Therefore $d(v) = 5$ and $F_v = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2\}$. There are at least $\Delta + 12 - (5 + 4 - 2) = \Delta + 5$ candidate colors for the edge vv_1 . Also recall that $d(v_2) \leq 7$, $c'(vv') = c'(v_1u') = \alpha_1$, and $c'(vv'') = c'(v_1u'') = \alpha_2$.

CLAIM 4. $v_2 \notin \{v', v''\}$.

Proof. Suppose not. Then, without loss of generality, $v_2 = v'$ and $c'(vv_2) = \alpha_1$. Now if none of the $\Delta + 5$ candidate colors is valid for the edge vv_1 , then they all are in critical paths that contain either the edge vv' or the edge vv'' . Now $|S_{vv'}| + |S_{vv''}| \leq 6 + \Delta - 1 = \Delta + 5$. Since each of the $\Delta + 5$ candidate colors has to be present in either $S_{vv'}$ or $S_{vv''}$, we infer that $S_{vv'} \cup S_{vv''}$ is exactly the set of candidate colors; i.e., $|S_{vv'}| + |S_{vv''}| = \Delta + 5$. This requires that $|S_{vv'}| = 6$, $|S_{vv''}| = \Delta - 1$, and $S_{vv'} \cap S_{vv''} = \emptyset$. Since for each $\gamma \in S_{vv''}$, we have $(\alpha_2, \gamma, v, v_1)$ -critical path containing u'' , we can infer that $S_{vv''} \subseteq S_{v_1u''}$ (recall that $c'(v_1u'') = \alpha_2$). But since $|S_{v_1u''}| \leq \Delta - 1$, we have $S_{vv''} = S_{v_1u''}$. Thus $S_{v_1u''} \cap S_{vv'} = S_{vv''} \cap S_{vv'} = \emptyset$.

Now we exchange the colors of the edges vv' and vv'' to get an edge-coloring c . Hence $c(vv') = \alpha_2$ and $c(vv'') = \alpha_1$. The edge-coloring c is proper since $\alpha_2 \notin S_{vv'}$ and $\alpha_1 \notin S_{vv''}$ (recall that $S_{vv'}$ and $S_{vv''}$ contain only candidate colors). We shall prove that c is also acyclic: A bichromatic cycle with respect to c has to be an (α_1, η) - or (α_2, η) -bichromatic cycle for some $\eta \in F_v$. Clearly it cannot be an (α_1, α_2) -bichromatic cycle since $\alpha_1 \notin S_{vv'}(c)$, and therefore $\eta \in \{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$ (recall that $F_v = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2\}$). This implies that either β_1 or β_2 belongs to $S_{vv'} \cup S_{vv''}$. But we know that $S_{vv'} \cup S_{vv''}$ is exactly the set of candidate colors for the edge vv_1 , a contradiction since $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in F_v$ cannot be candidate colors for the edge vv_1 .

Therefore the edge-coloring c is acyclic. By Lemma 2.7, all the existing critical paths are broken. Now consider a color $\gamma \in S_{vv'}$. If it is still not valid, then there has to be an $(\alpha_2, \gamma, v, v_1)$ -critical path since $c(vv') = \alpha_2$ and $\gamma \notin S_{vv''}(c)$. This implies that $\gamma \in S_{v_1u''}(c)$, a contradiction since $S_{v_1u''}(c) \cap S_{vv'}(c) = \emptyset$. Thus we have a valid color for the edge vv_1 , a contradiction to the assumption that G is a counterexample. \square

From Claim 4, we infer that $c'(vv_2) \notin F_v \cap F_{v_1}$ since $F_v \cap F_{v_1} = \{c'(vv'), c(vv'')\} = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$. Therefore we have $c(vv_2) \in \{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$ since $F_v = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2\}$. Without loss of generality, let $c(vv_2) = \beta_1$. We know that the color β_2 can be in at most one of $S_{vv'}$ and $S_{vv''}$ by Claim 2. Now let v' be such that $\beta_2 \notin S_{vv'}$. Note that $C \setminus (S_{vv'} \cup F_v \cup F_{v_1}) \neq \emptyset$ since $|S_{vv'} \cup F_v \cup F_{v_1}| \leq \Delta - 1 + 4 + 5 - 2 = \Delta + 6$. Assign a color $\theta \in C \setminus (S_{vv'} \cup F_v \cup F_{v_1})$ to the edge vv' to get an edge-coloring c'' . Now $|F_v(c'') \cap F_{v_1}(c'')| = 1$. Thus in view of Proposition 2.8, the edge-coloring c'' is not acyclic. Hence there is a bichromatic cycle with respect to c'' . This bichromatic cycle should involve one of the colors $\alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$ along with θ . Since the bichromatic cycle contains a color from $S_{vv'}$ and $\beta_2 \notin S_{vv'}$, it cannot be a (θ, β_2) -bichromatic cycle. Now with respect to the edge-coloring c' , color θ was not valid for the edge vv_1 , implying that there existed an $(\alpha_1, \theta, v, v_1)$ - or $(\alpha_2, \theta, v, v_1)$ -critical path. But an $(\alpha_1, \theta, v, v_1)$ -critical path was not possible since $\theta \notin S_{vv'}$ by the choice of θ . Thus there existed an $(\alpha_2, \theta, v, v_1)$ -critical path with respect to c' . Thus by Fact 2.3, there cannot be an $(\alpha_2, \theta, v, v')$ -critical path with respect to c' , and hence there cannot be an (α_2, θ) -bichromatic cycle in c'' formed due to the recoloring. Thus if there is a bichromatic cycle formed, then it has to be a (β_1, θ) -bichromatic cycle, which implies that $\beta_1 \in S_{vv'}$.

Now taking into account the fact that β_1 is in $S_{vv'}$ as well as F_v , we get $|S_{vv'} \cup F_v \cup F_{v_1}| \leq \Delta - 1 + 4 + 5 - 2 - 1 = \Delta + 5$, and therefore $|S_{vv'} \cup F_v \cup F_{v_1} \cup S_{vv_2}| \leq \Delta + 5 + 6 = \Delta + 11$. Thus, $C \setminus (S_{vv'} \cup F_v \cup F_{v_1} \cup S_{vv_2}) \neq \emptyset$. Now recolor the edge vv' using a color $\gamma \in C \setminus (S_{vv'} \cup F_v \cup F_{v_1} \cup S_{vv_2})$ to get an edge-coloring c . Clearly this edge-coloring is proper. It is also acyclic since if a bichromatic cycle gets formed, it has to be a (β_1, γ) bichromatic cycle (note that the (α_2, γ) and (β_2, γ) bichromatic cycles are argued out as before). But $\gamma \notin S_{vv_2}$, a contradiction. Thus the edge-coloring c is acyclic.

But $|F_v(c) \cap F_{v_1}(c)| = 1$, a contradiction to Proposition 2.8. This completes the proof of Proposition 2.9.

PROPOSITION 2.10. *For any acyclic edge-coloring c' of $G \setminus vv_1$, $|F_v \cap F_{v_1}| \neq 3$.*

Proof. Suppose not. Let c' be an acyclic edge-coloring of $G \setminus vv_1$ such that $|F_v \cap F_{v_1}| = 3$. Then $|F_v| \geq 3$, and therefore $d(v) \geq 4$. Thus v belongs to either configuration A3 or A4. Let S'_v be the multiset defined by $S'_v = S_v \setminus (F_{v_1} \cup F_v)$. Let $v', v'', v''' \in N_{G \setminus vv_1}(v)$ be such that $\{c(vv'), c(vv''), c(vv''')\} = F_v \cap F_{v_1}$. Also let $c(vv') = \alpha_1$, $c(vv'') = \alpha_2$, and $c(vv''') = \alpha_3$.

CLAIM 5. $\|S'_v\| \leq 2\Delta + 11$.

Proof. When $d(v) = 4$, it is clear that $\|S'_v\| \leq (d(v_2) - 1) + (d(v_3) - 1) + (d(v_4) - 1) \leq 10 + \Delta - 1 + \Delta - 1 = 2\Delta + 8$. On the other hand when $d(v) = 5$, try to recolor one of the edges vv', vv'', vv''' using a color in $C \setminus (F_v \cup F_{v_1})$. There are $\Delta + 6$ colors in $C \setminus (F_v \cup F_{v_1})$. If any of these colors is valid for one of $vv', vv'',$ or vv''' , then recoloring this edge with this color, we obtain an acyclic edge-coloring c'' satisfying $|F_v(c'') \cap F_{v_1}(c'')| = 2$. This contradicts Proposition 2.9. Hence there has to be a bichromatic cycle formed during each recoloring. Since such a bichromatic cycle has to be a (γ_1, γ_2) -bichromatic cycle where γ_1 is the color used in the recoloring and $\gamma_2 \in F_v \setminus \{\alpha_1\}$, we infer that $S_{vv'}, S_{vv''}$, and $S_{vv'''}$ contain at least one color from F_v . Thus we have $\|S'_v\| \leq \|S_v\| - 3 \leq (d(v_2) - 1) + (d(v_3) - 1) + (d(v_4) - 1) + (d(v_5) - 1) - 3 \leq 6 + 10 + \Delta - 1 + \Delta - 1 - 3 = 2\Delta + 11$. \square

CLAIM 6. *There exists at least one color $\beta \in C \setminus (F_v \cup F_{v_1})$ with multiplicity at most one in S'_v .*

Proof. Since v belongs to either configuration A3 or configuration A4, we have $|F_v \cup F_{v_1}| \leq 9 - 3 = 6$. Thus $|C \setminus (F_v \cup F_{v_1})| \leq \Delta + 6$. By Claim 5 we have $\|S'_v\| \leq 2\Delta + 11$, and from this it is easy to see that there exists at least one color $\beta \in C \setminus (F_v \cup F_{v_1})$ with multiplicity at most one in S'_v . \square

Note that $\beta \in C \setminus (F_v \cup F_{v_1})$, where β is the color from Claim 6 that is a candidate color for the edge vv_1 . If it is not valid, then there has to be a (θ, β, v, v_1) -critical path, where $\theta \in \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$. By Claim 6, β can be present in at most one of $S_{vv'}, S_{vv''}$, and $S_{vv'''}$. Without loss of generality, let $\beta \in S_{vv''}$. Thus there exists an $(\alpha_2, \beta, v, v_1)$ -critical path with respect to the edge-coloring c' . Recolor the edge vv' using the color β to get an edge-coloring c . Clearly c is proper since $\beta \notin S_{vv'}$ and $\beta \notin F_v$. Let us show that it is also acyclic. A bichromatic cycle (with respect to c) has to contain the color β as well as a color $\gamma \in F_v(c) \setminus \{\beta\}$. If $\gamma = c(vw)$, then $\beta \in S_{vw}$ for the (β, γ) -bichromatic cycle to get formed. But v'' is the only vertex in $N_{G \setminus vv_1}(v)$ such that $\beta \in S_{vv''}$. Thus $w = v''$, $\gamma = \alpha_2$, and the cycle is an (α_2, β) -bichromatic cycle. This means that there existed an (α_2, β, v, v') -critical path with respect to the edge-coloring c' , a contradiction to Fact 2.3 since there already existed an $(\alpha_2, \beta, v, v_1)$ -critical path with respect to the edge-coloring c' . Thus the edge-coloring c is acyclic.

But $|F_v(c) \cap F_{v_1}(c)| = 2$, a contradiction to Proposition 2.9. This completes the proof of Proposition 2.10. \square

PROPOSITION 2.11. *For any acyclic edge-coloring c' of $G \setminus vv_1$, $|F_v \cap F_{v_1}| \neq 4$.*

Proof. Suppose not. Let c' be an acyclic edge-coloring of $G \setminus vv_1$ such that $|F_v \cap F_{v_1}| = 4$. Then $|F_v| \geq 4$ and since $d(v) \leq 5$, we have $d(v) = 5$. Hence v belongs to Configuration A4. Let S'_v be the multiset defined by $S'_v = S_v \setminus (F_{v_1} \cup F_v)$. Also let $c(vv_2) = \alpha_1, c(vv_3) = \alpha_2, c(vv_4) = \alpha_3$, and $c(vv_5) = \alpha_4$.

Now try to recolor an edge incident on v with a candidate color from $C \setminus (F_v \cup F_{v_1})$. If the obtained edge-coloring c'' is acyclic, then $|F_v(c'') \cap F_{v_1}(c'')| = 3$, a contradiction to Proposition 2.10. Hence there has to be a bichromatic cycle created due to recoloring with one of the colors from F_v . This implies that $F_v \cap S'_v \neq \emptyset$. Thus we have $\|S'_v\| \leq \|S_v\| - 1 \leq (d(v_2) - 1) + (d(v_3) - 1) + (d(v_4) - 1) + (d(v_5) - 1) \leq 6 + 10 + \Delta - 1 + \Delta - 1 - 1 = 2\Delta + 13$. Now since there are $|C \setminus (F_v \cup F_{v_1})| \geq \Delta + 12 - (4 + 5 - 4) = \Delta + 7$ candidate colors and $\|S'_v\| \leq 2\Delta + 13$, it is easy to see that there exists at least one candidate color β with multiplicity at most one in S'_v .

Note that $\beta \in C \setminus (F_v \cup F_{v_1})$ is a candidate color for the edge vv_1 . If it is not valid, then there has to be a (θ, β, v, v_1) -critical path, where $\theta \in \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4\}$. We know that β can be present in at most one of $S_{vv_2}, S_{vv_3}, S_{vv_4}$, and S_{vv_5} . Without loss of generality, let $\beta \in S_{vv_3}$. Thus there exists an $(\alpha_2, \beta, v, v_1)$ -critical path with respect to the edge-coloring c' . Recolor the edge vv_2 using the color β to get an edge-coloring c . Clearly c is proper since $\beta \notin S_{vv_2}$ and $\beta \notin F_v$. Let us now show that it is acyclic. A bichromatic cycle with respect to c has to contain the color β as well as a color $\gamma \in F_v(c) \setminus \{\beta\}$. If $\gamma = c(vw)$, then $\beta \in S_{vw}$ for the (β, γ) bichromatic cycle to get formed. But v_3 is the only vertex in $N_{G \setminus vv_1}(v)$ such that $\beta \in S_{vv_3}$. Thus $w = v_3, \gamma = \alpha_2$, and it has to be a (β, α_2) bichromatic cycle. This means that there existed an $(\alpha_2, \beta, v, v_2)$ -critical path with respect to the edge-coloring c' , a contradiction to Fact 2.3 since there already existed an $(\alpha_2, \beta, v, v_1)$ -critical path with respect to the edge-coloring c' . Thus the edge-coloring c is acyclic.

But $|F_v(c) \cap F_{v_1}(c)| = 3$, a contradiction to Proposition 2.10. \square

By Lemma 2.2, $d_{G \setminus vv_1}(v) \leq 4$. Thus $|F_v \cap F_{v_1}| \leq |F_v| \leq 4$. Then Propositions 2.8, 2.9, 2.10, and 2.11 give a contradiction to the assumption that G contains a Configuration A2, A3, or A4A4.

2.3. There is no configuration A2, A3, or A4. In the previous subsection, we showed that G contains no configuration A2, A3, or A4. Then by Lemma 2.2, there is a configuration A1 that is a vertex v such that $d(v) = 2$. Now delete all the degree 2 vertices from G to get a graph H . Now since the graph H is also planar, there exists a vertex v' in H such that v' belongs to one of the configurations A1, A2, A3, or A4, say, A' . The vertex v' was not already in configuration A' in G . This means that the degree of at least one of the vertices of the configuration A' , i.e., $\{v'\} \cup N_H(v')$, got decreased by the removal of 2-degree vertices. Let $P = \{x \in \{v'\} \cup N_H(v') : d_H(x) < d_G(x)\}$. Let u be the minimum degree vertex in P in the graph H . Now it is easy to see that $d_H(u) \leq 11$ since v' did not belong to A' in G .

Let $N'(u) = \{x | x \in N_G(u) \text{ and } d_G(u) = 2\}$. Let $N''(u) = N_G(u) - N'(u)$. It is obvious that $N''(u) = N_H(u)$.

Since $u \in P$ and $d_H(u) \leq 11$, we have $|N'(u)| \geq 1$ and $|N''(u)| \leq 11$. In G let $u' \in N'(u)$ be a two-degree neighbor of u such that $N(u') = \{u, u''\}$. Now by minimality of G , the graph $G \setminus uu'$ admits an acyclic edge-coloring c' using a set C of $\Delta + 12$ colors. Let $F'_u = \{c'(ux) | x \in N'(u)\}$ and $F''_u = \{c'(ux) | x \in N''(u)\}$. Now if $c(u'u'') \notin F'_u$, we are done since $|F'_u \cup F''_u| \leq \Delta$, and thus there are at least 12 candidate colors which are also valid by Lemma 2.4.

We know that $|F''_u| \leq 11$. If $c'(u'u'') \in F'_u$, then let $c = c'$. Else if $c'(u'u'') \in F''_u$, then recolor edge $u'u''$ using a color from $C \setminus (S_{u'u''} \cup F''_u)$ to get an edge-coloring c (note that

$|C \setminus (S_{u'u''} \cup F_v'')| \geq \Delta + 12 - (\Delta - 1 + 11) = 2$, and since u' has degree one in $G - \{uu'\}$, c is acyclic). Now if $c(u'u'') \notin F_u$, the proof is already discussed. Thus $c(u'u'') \in F_u$.

Let us now consider the edge-coloring c . Let $a \in N'(u)$ be such that $c(ua) = c(u'u'') = \alpha$. Now if none of the candidate colors in $C \setminus (F_u \cup F_{u'})$ are valid for the edge uu' , then by Fact 2.5, for each $\gamma \in C \setminus (F_u \cup F_{u'})$, there exists an (α, γ, u, u') -critical path. Since $c'(ua) = \alpha$, we have all the critical paths passing through the vertex a , and hence $S_{ua} \subseteq C \setminus (F_u \cup F_{u'})$. This implies that $|S_{ua}| \geq |C \setminus (F_u \cup F_{u'})| \geq \Delta + 12 - (1 + \Delta - 1 - 1) = 13$, a contradiction since $|S_{ua}| = 1$. Thus we have a valid color for the edge uu' , a contradiction to the assumption that G is a counterexample.

This final contradiction completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

3. Planar graphs of girth at least 5. The aim of this section is to prove Conjecture 1.2 for planar graphs of girth at least 5. Actually, we prove the conjecture for a more general class of graphs: the graphs of maximum average degree at most $10/3$. The *average degree* of a graph G is $\text{Ad}(G) = \frac{1}{|V(G)|} \sum_{v \in V(G)} d(v) = \frac{2|E(G)|}{|V(G)|}$. The *maximum average degree* of G is $\text{Mad}(G) = \max\{\text{Ad}(H) \mid H \text{ is a subgraph of } G\}$. It is well known that the girth and the maximum average degree of a planar graph are related to each other.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let G be a planar graph of girth g :*

$$\text{Mad}(G) < 2 + \frac{4}{g-2}.$$

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $\Delta \geq 19$ and G be a graph with maximum degree at most Δ and maximum average degree less than $\frac{10}{3}$. Then $\chi'_a(G) \leq \Delta$.*

Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 3.1 immediately yield the following.

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let $\Delta \geq 19$ and G be a planar graph with maximum degree at most Δ and girth at least 5. Then $\chi'_a(G) \leq \Delta$.*

More generally than Theorem 3.2, we show the following.

THEOREM 3.4. *For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an integer Δ_ϵ such that every graph G with maximum degree at most Δ with $\Delta \geq \Delta_\epsilon$ and maximum average degree less than $4 - \epsilon$ is acyclically Δ -edge-colorable.*

In order to prove Theorems 3.2 and 3.4, we first establish some properties of Δ -minimal graphs which are graphs with maximum degree at most Δ , not acyclically Δ -edge-colorable but such that every proper subgraph is. Then, by the discharging method, we deduce that such a graph has maximum average degree at least $4 - \epsilon$ (resp., $10/3$) if Δ is at least Δ_ϵ (resp., 19). We will first prove, in subsection 3.2, Theorem 3.4, for its discharging procedure is simpler because we only establish the existence of Δ_ϵ and make no attempt to minimize it. We then show Theorem 3.2 in subsection 3.3.

A vertex of degree i is called an i -vertex and an i -neighbor of a vertex v is a neighbor of v having degree i .

3.1. Properties of Δ -minimal graphs.

PROPOSITION 3.5. *A Δ -minimal graph G is 2-connected. In particular, $\delta(G) \geq 2$.*

Proof. If G is not connected, it is the disjoint union of G_1 and G_2 . Both G_1 and G_2 admit an acyclic Δ -edge-coloring by minimality of G . The union of these two edge-colorings is an acyclic Δ -edge-coloring of G .

Suppose now that G has a cutvertex v . Let C_i for $1 \leq i \leq p$ be the components of $G - v$ and G_i the graph induced by $C_i \cup \{v\}$. By minimality of G , all the G_i admit an acyclic Δ -edge-coloring. Moreover, free to permute the colors we may assume that two edges incident to v get different colors. Hence the union of these edge-colorings is an acyclic Δ -edge-coloring of G because any cycle of G is entirely contained in one of the G_i . \square

PROPOSITION 3.6. *Let G be a Δ -minimal graph. For every vertex $v \in V(G)$, $\sum_{u \in N(v)} d(u) \geq \Delta + 1$.*

Proof. Suppose by way of contradiction that there is a vertex v such that $\sum_{u \in N(v)} d(u) \leq \Delta$. Let w be a neighbor of v . By minimality of G , $G \setminus vw$ admits an acyclic edge-coloring with Δ colors. Now color vw with a color distinct from the ones of the edges incident to a neighbor of v . This is possible, as there are at most $\Delta - 1$ such edges distinct from vw . Doing so we clearly obtain a proper edge-coloring. Let us now show that there is no bicolored cycle. A cycle that does not contain vw has edges of at least three colors as the edge-coloring of G was acyclic and a cycle containing vw must contain an edge vu and an edge tu with $u \in N(v) \setminus \{w\}$. By construction, the colors of tu , uv , and vw are distinct. \square

A *thread* is a path of length two whose internal vertex has degree 2.

PROPOSITION 3.7. *Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer and G a Δ -minimal graph. In G , a Δ -vertex is the end of at most k threads whose other endvertex has degree at most k .*

To prove this proposition we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.8. *Let $H = ((A, B), E)$ be a bipartite graph with $|A| = |B| = q$ such that for any vertex $a \in A$ $d(a) = 1$, and let $K_{A,B}$ be the complete bipartite graph with bipartition (A, B) . If at least three vertices of B are of degree at least one in H , then there exists a perfect matching M of $K_{A,B}$ such that the bipartite graph $((A, B), E \cup M)$ has girth at least 6.*

Proof. Let m be the number of vertices of B of degree at least one. Let b_1, \dots, b_q be the vertices of B with $d(b_i) \geq 1$ if $i \leq m$ and $d(b_i) = 0$ otherwise. And let a_1, \dots, a_q be the vertices of A with $a_i b_i \in E$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. If $m \geq 3$, let $M = \{a_i b_{i+1} \mid 1 \leq i < m\} \cup \{a_m b_1\} \cup \{a_i b_i \mid m < i \leq q\}$. Then the unique cycle in $((A, B), E \cup M)$ is $C = (a_1, b_2, a_2, b_3, \dots, a_{m-1}, b_m, a_1)$. It has length $2m \geq 6$. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.7. Suppose for a contradiction that there is a Δ -vertex u with $q = k + 1$ threads $uv_i w_i$, $1 \leq i \leq q$, such that $d(w_i) \leq k$. Note that $q \geq 3$.

Set $A = \{v_1, \dots, v_q\}$. By Proposition 3.1, $w_i \notin A$ for all $1 \leq i \leq q$. By minimality of G , $G - A$ admits an acyclic Δ -edge-coloring.

Let us first extend it to the $v_i w_i$ as follows. Let F be the set of colors assigned to the edges incident to u and to no vertex of A , and for $1 \leq i \leq q$, let F_i be the set of colors assigned to the edges incident to w_i (and distinct from $v_i w_i$). Then $|F| = \Delta - q$ and $|F_i| \leq k - 1$. For all $1 \leq i \leq q$, let S_i be the set of colors not in $F \cup F_i$. Since $|F| + |F_i| = \Delta - q + k - 1 = \Delta - 2$, then $|S_i| \geq 2$.

Assume first that $|\bigcup_{i=1}^q S_i| \geq 3$. Then one can assign to each $v_i w_i$ a color in S_i in such a way that at least 3 colors appear on such edges and that different colors appear on $v_i w_i$ and $v_j w_j$ if $w_i = w_j$. We will now color the edges uv_i for $1 \leq i \leq q$. Therefore let $H_1 = ((A, B), E_1)$ be the bipartite graph with B the set of q colors $\{b_1, \dots, b_q\}$ not in F and in which v_i is adjacent to b_j if $c(v_i w_i) = b_j$. As long as some v_i has degree 0, then add an edge between a_i and an isolated b_j to obtain a bipartite graph $H_2 = ((A, B), E_2)$. Because at least three colors appear on the $v_i w_i$, the graph H_2 fulfills the hypothesis of Lemma 3.8. So there exists a perfect matching M of $K_{A,B}$ such that $((A, B),$

$E_2 \cup M$) has girth at least 6. For $1 \leq i \leq q$, assign to each uv_i the color to which v_i is linked in M .

Let us now prove that this edge-coloring of G is acyclic. It is obvious that it is proper since v_i is not linked to $c(v_iw_i)$ in M . Let us now prove that it is acyclic. Let C be a cycle of G . If it contains no vertex of A , then it contains edges of three different colors because the edge-coloring of $G - A$ is acyclic. Suppose now that C contains a unique vertex of A , say, v_i . Then C contains w_iv_i , v_iu , and ut with t a neighbor of u not in A . Then $c(ut) \in F$, so by construction, $c(w_iv_i) \neq c(ut)$. Hence the colors of w_iv_i , v_iu , and ut are distinct. Suppose finally that C contains two vertices of A , say, v_i and v_j . Then C contains w_iv_i , v_iu , w_jv_j , and v_ju . Since $((A, B), E_2 \cup M)$ has girth at least 6, either $c(v_iu) \neq c(w_jv_j)$ or $c(v_ju) \neq c(w_iv_i)$. In both cases, C has edges of three different colors.

Assume now that $|\bigcup_{i=1}^q S_i| < 3$. Then all the S_i are equal and of cardinality 2, say, $S_i = \{a, b\}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq q$. Hence all the F_i are the same of cardinality $k - 1$ and disjoint from F . Observe that this can happen only if all the w_i are distinct. Let us denote by f_1, \dots, f_{k-1} the elements of the F_i . Let us set $c(v_iw_i) = a$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, $c(v_qw_q) = b$, $c(uv_i) = f_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$, $c(uv_k) = b$, and $c(uv_{k+1}) = a$. It is easy to check that the obtained edge-coloring is an acyclic edge-coloring of G . \square

PROPOSITION 3.9. *Let k and l be two positive integers and G a Δ -minimal graph. In G , a $(\Delta - l)$ -vertex is the end of at most $k - 1 - l$ threads whose other endvertex has degree at most k .*

To prove this proposition we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.10. *Let $H = ((A, B), E)$ be a bipartite graph with $q = |A| < |B|$ such that for any vertex $a \in A$, $d(a) = 1$, and let $K_{A,B}$ be the complete bipartite graph with bipartition (A, B) . Then there exists a matching M of $K_{A,B}$ saturating A such that the bipartite graph $((A, B), E \cup M)$ has no cycle.*

Proof. Let $q' = |B|$. Let $b_1, \dots, b_{q'}$ be the vertices of B with $d(b_i) \geq 1$ if $i \leq m$ and $d(b_i) = 0$ otherwise. And let a_1, \dots, a_q be the vertices of A with $a_ib_i \in E$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. Let $M = \{a_ib_{i+1} | 1 \leq i \leq q\}$. This is well-defined since $q' > q$. Then $((A, B), E \cup M)$ has no cycle. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.9. Suppose for a contradiction that there is a $(\Delta - l)$ -vertex u with $q = k - l$ threads uv_iw_i , $1 \leq i \leq q$, such that $d(w_i) \leq k$.

Set $A = \{v_1, \dots, v_q\}$. By minimality of G , $G - A$ admits an acyclic Δ -edge-coloring. Let us first extend it to the v_iw_i as follows. Let F be the set of colors assigned to the edges incident to u and to no vertex of A , and for $1 \leq i \leq q$, let F_i be the set of colors assigned to the edges incident to w_i (and distinct from v_iw_i). Then $|F| = \Delta - l - q$ and $|F_i| \leq k - 1$.

For all $1 \leq i \leq q$, color v_iw_i with a color not in $F \cup F_i$ and distinct from the colors. This is possible since $|F| + |F_i| = \Delta - l - q + k - 1 = \Delta - 1$.

We will now color the edges uv_i for $1 \leq i \leq q$. Therefore let $H_1 = ((A, B), E_1)$ be the bipartite graph with B the set of $q + j$ colors $\{b_1, \dots, b_{q+j}\}$ not in F and in which v_i is adjacent to b_j if $c(v_iw_i) = b_j$. As long as some v_i has degree 0, then add an edge between a_i and an isolated b_j to obtain a bipartite graph $H_2 = ((A, B), E_2)$. Then H_2 fulfills the hypothesis of Lemma 3.10, so there exists a perfect matching M of $K_{A,B}$ such that $((A, B), E_2 \cup M)$ has no cycle. For $1 \leq i \leq q$, assign to each uv_i the color to which v_i is linked in M .

In the same way as in the proof of Proposition 3.7, one shows that the obtained edge-coloring is acyclic. \square

3.2. Proof of Theorem 3.4

LEMMA 3.11. *Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists Δ_ϵ such that if $\Delta \geq \Delta_\epsilon$, then any Δ -minimal*

graph has average degree at least $4 - \epsilon$.

Proof. The result for $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ implies the result for larger values of ϵ . Hence we assume that $\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Let us assign an initial charge of $d(v)$ to each vertex $v \in V(G)$, Set $d_\epsilon = \lceil \frac{\Delta}{\epsilon} - 2 \rceil$. We perform the following discharging rules.

- R1. For $4 \leq d < d_\epsilon$, every d -vertex sends $a(d) = 1 - \frac{4-\epsilon}{d}$ to each neighbor.
- R2. For $d_\epsilon \leq d \leq \Delta + 1 - d_\epsilon$, every d -vertex sends $1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ to each neighbor.
- R3. For $\Delta + 2 - d_\epsilon \leq d \leq \Delta$, every d -vertex sends
 - $1 - \epsilon$ to each 3-neighbor;
 - $2 - \epsilon$ to each 2-neighbor whose second neighbor has degree 2 or 3;
 - $b(d) = 2 - \epsilon - a(d)$ to each 2-neighbor whose second neighbor has degree d with $4 \leq d < d_\epsilon$;
 - $1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ to each 2-neighbor whose second neighbor has degree $d \geq d_\epsilon$.

Let us now check that every vertex v has final charge $f(v)$ at least $4 - \epsilon$.

If v is a 2-vertex, then let u and w be its two neighbors with $d(u) \leq d(w)$. If $d(u) \leq 3$, then $d(w) \geq \Delta - 2$ by Proposition 3.6. Hence v receives $2 - \epsilon$ from w by R3, so $f(v) \geq 2 + 2 - \epsilon = 4 - \epsilon$. If $4 \leq d(u) < d_\epsilon$, then $d(w) > \Delta + 1 - d_\epsilon$, by Proposition 3.6. Hence v receives $a(d)$ from u by R2 and $b(d)$ from w by R3. So $f(v) = 4 - \epsilon$. If $d(u) \geq 10$, then v receives $1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ from u and $1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ from w by R3. So $f(v) = 4 - \epsilon$.

Suppose that v is a 3-vertex. Then by Proposition 3.6 it has at least two (≥ 8)-neighbors. Hence it receives at least $2 \times 1/2$ by R1, R2, or R3 because $\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$. So $f(v) \geq 4$.

Suppose $4 \leq d(v) < d_\epsilon$. Then v sends $d(v)$ times $1 - \frac{4-\epsilon}{d(v)}$, so $f(v) \geq 4 - \epsilon$.

Suppose $d_\epsilon \leq d(v) \leq \Delta + 1 - d_\epsilon$. Then v sends at most $d(v)$ times $1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, so $f(v) \geq d(v) \times \frac{\epsilon}{2} \geq 4 - \epsilon$.

Suppose now that $d(v) \geq \Delta + 2 - d_\epsilon$. Then by Propositions 3.7 and 3.9, the most v can send is when it has three 2-neighbors with the second neighbor of degree at most 3, one 2-neighbor with the second neighbor of degree d for all $4 \leq d \leq d_\epsilon - 1$, and $\Delta - d_\epsilon + 1$ 2-neighbors with second neighbor of degree at least d_ϵ . Hence

$$f(v) \geq \Delta + 2 - d_\epsilon - 3(2 - \epsilon) - \sum_{d=4}^{d_\epsilon-1} b(d) - (\Delta - d_\epsilon + 1) \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) \geq \Delta \frac{\epsilon}{2} - S_\epsilon,$$

with $S_\epsilon = d_\epsilon - 2 + 3(2 - \epsilon) + \sum_{d=4}^{d_\epsilon-1} b(d) - (1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2})(d_\epsilon - 1)$. Setting $\Delta_\epsilon = \lceil \frac{2}{\epsilon}(S_\epsilon + 4 - \epsilon) \rceil$, if $\Delta \geq \Delta_\epsilon$, the $f(v) \geq 4 - \epsilon$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.4. If Theorem 3.4 were false, then a minimum counterexample G would be a Δ -minimum graph. So by Lemma 3.11, its average degree would be at least $4 - \epsilon$, a contradiction. \square

3.3. Proof of Theorem 3.2. Lemma 3.11 for $\epsilon = 2/3$ yields that for $\Delta \geq \Delta_{2/3}$, a Δ -minimal graph G satisfies $\text{Mad}(G) \geq \text{Ad}(G) \geq 10/3$. The value of $\Delta_{2/3}$ given by the proof of Lemma 3.11 is 49. We now show that it could be decreased to 19.

LEMMA 3.12. *Let $\Delta \geq 19$ and G be a Δ -minimal graph. Then $\text{Mad}(G) \geq \text{Ad}(G) \geq 10/3$.*

Proof. Let us assign an initial charge of $d(v)$ to each vertex $v \in V(G)$ and perform the following discharging rules:

- R1. Every 4-vertex sends $4/9$ to each of its (≤ 3)-neighbors;
- R2. Every 5-vertex sends $7/12$ to each 2-neighbor and $1/3$ to each 3-neighbor;
- R3. For $6 \leq d \leq 9$, every d -vertex sends $1 - 10/3d$ to each neighbor;

- R4. For $10 \leq d \leq \Delta - 9$, every d -vertex sends $2/3$ to each neighbor;
 R5. For $\Delta - 8 \leq d \leq \Delta$, every d -vertex sends
- $2/3$ to each d -neighbor with $3 \leq d \leq 5$;
 - $4/3$ to each 2-neighbor whose second neighbor has degree 2 or 3;
 - $8/9$ to each 2-neighbor whose second neighbor has degree 4;
 - $9/12$ to each 2-neighbor whose second neighbor has degree 5;
 - $1/3 + 10/3d$ to each 2-neighbor whose second neighbor has degree d with $6 \leq d \leq 9$;
 - $2/3$ to each 2-neighbor whose second neighbor has degree $d \geq 10$.

Let us now check that every vertex v has final charge $f(v)$ at least $\frac{10}{3}$.

If v is a 2-vertex, then let u and w be its two neighbors with $d(u) \leq d(w)$. If $d(u) \leq 3$, then $d(w) \geq \Delta - 2$ by Proposition 3.6. Hence v receives $4/3$ from w by R5, so $f(v) \geq 2 + 4/3 = 10/3$. If $d(u) = 4$, then $d(w) \geq \Delta - 3$ by Proposition 3.6. Hence

v receives $4/9$ from u by R1 and $8/9$ from w by R5. So $f(v) = 10/3$. If $d(u) = 5$, then $d(w) \geq \Delta - 4$ by Proposition 3.6. Hence v receives $7/12$ from u by R2 and $9/12$ from w by R5. So $f(v) = 10/3$. If $6 \leq d(u) \leq 9$, then $d(w) \geq \Delta - 8$ by Proposition 3.6. Hence v receives $1 - 10/3d$ from u by R3 and $1/3 + 10/3d$ from w by R5. So $f(v) = 10/3$. If $d(u) \geq 10$, then v receives $2/3$ from u by R4 and $2/3$ from w by R5. So $f(v) = 10/3$.

Suppose that v is a 3-vertex. Then, since $\Delta \geq 10$, by Proposition 3.6 it has either a (≥ 5)-neighbor or two 4-neighbors. Hence it receives either at least $1/3$ by R2, R3, R4, or R5, or $2 \times 4/9 \geq 1/3$ by R1. In both cases, $f(v) \geq 3 + 1/3 = 10/3$.

Suppose that v is a 4-vertex. Then, since $\Delta \geq 18$, by Proposition 3.6, it has either three (≤ 3)-neighbors and one (≥ 10)-neighbor or at most two (≤ 3)-neighbors. In the first case, it sends $4/9$ to each of its 3-neighbors and receives $2/3$ from its (≥ 10)-neighbor. So $f(v) \geq 4 - 3 \times \frac{4}{9} + \frac{2}{3} = 10/3$. In the second case, it sends $4/9$ to at most 2 neighbors. So $f(v) \geq 4 - 2 \times \frac{4}{9} > 10/3$.

Suppose that v is a 5-vertex. Assume first that v has at most three (≤ 3)-neighbors. If it has at least one (3)-neighbor, it sends at most $3/2$, so $f(v) \geq 5 - 3/2 > 10/3$. If not, it has three 2-neighbors. Let u_1 and u_2 be the two (≥ 4)-neighbors of v . By Proposition 3.6, $d(u_1) + d(u_2) \geq 11$ since $\Delta \geq 16$. Hence one of these two vertices is a (≥ 6)-vertex, and it sends at least $4/9$ to u . Hence $f(v) \geq 5 + 4/9 - 7/4 > 10/3$. Assume now that v has at least four (≤ 3)-neighbors. Let i be the number of 2-neighbors of v . Then by Proposition 3.6, v has exactly $4 - i$ 3-neighbors and its fifth neighbor has degree at least $6 + i$ since $\Delta \geq 17$. Hence $f(v) \geq 5 - i \cdot \frac{7}{12} - (4 - i) \frac{1}{3} + 1 - \frac{10}{3(6+i)} > 10/3$.

Suppose $6 \leq d(v) \leq 9$. Then v sends $d(v)$ times $1 - 10/3d(v)$, so $f(v) \geq d(v) - d(v)(1 - 10/3d) = 10/3$.

Suppose $10 \leq d(v) \leq \Delta - 10$. Then v sends at most $d(v)$ times $2/3$, so $f(v) \geq d(v)(1 - 2/3) \geq 10/3$.

Suppose that $d(v) = \Delta - l$ for $1 \leq l \leq 7$. By Proposition 3.9, v is incident to at most $\Delta - l - 1$ threads, so it has at least one (≥ 3)-neighbor to which it sends at most $2/3$. Moreover, the most it can send is when it has exactly one 2-neighbor with second neighbor of degree d for each $l + 2 \leq d \leq 9$ and $\Delta - 9$ 2-neighbors with second neighbor of degree at least 10. Hence its final charge is

$$\begin{aligned} f(v) &\geq \Delta - l - \left((\Delta - 8) \frac{2}{3} + \sum_{d=l+2}^9 s(d) \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{3} \Delta + \frac{16}{3} - \left(l + \sum_{d=l+2}^9 s(d) \right) \end{aligned}$$

with $s(3) = 4/3$, $s(4) = 8/9$, $s(5) = 9/12$, and $s(d) = 1/3 + 10/3d$ for $6 \leq d \leq 9$. Since $s(3) > 1$ and $s(d) < 1$ when $d \geq 4$, then $l + \sum_{d=l+2}^9 s(d)$ is minimum when $l = 2$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f(v) &\geq \frac{1}{3} \Delta + \frac{16}{3} - \left(2 + \sum_{d=4}^9 s(d) \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{3} \Delta + \frac{61}{36} - \frac{10}{3} \sum_{d=6}^9 \frac{1}{d} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{3} \Delta + \frac{61}{36} - \frac{10}{3} \times \frac{275}{504} \geq \frac{10}{3} \end{aligned}$$

because $\Delta \geq 11$.

Suppose $d(v) = \Delta$. By Proposition 3.7, the most it can send is when it has three 2-neighbors with second neighbor of degree at most 3, exactly one 2-neighbor with second neighbor of degree d for $4 \leq d \leq 9$, and $\Delta - 9$ 2-neighbors with second neighbor of degree at least 10. In this case it sends

$$\begin{aligned} 3 \times \frac{4}{3} + \frac{8}{9} + \frac{9}{12} + \sum_{d=6}^9 \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{10}{3d} \right) + (\Delta - 9) \frac{2}{3} &= \frac{2}{3} \Delta + \frac{35}{36} + \frac{10}{3} \sum_{d=6}^9 \frac{1}{d} \\ &= \frac{2}{3} \Delta + \frac{35}{36} + \frac{10}{3} \times \frac{275}{504} \\ &\leq \Delta - \frac{10}{3} \end{aligned}$$

because $\Delta \geq 19$. Hence $f(v) \geq \frac{10}{3}$.

Now $\text{Ad}(G) = \frac{1}{|V|} \sum_{v \in V(G)} d(v) = \frac{1}{|V|} \sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v) \geq \frac{10}{3}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.2. If Theorem 3.2 would be false, a minimum counterexample G would be a Δ -minimum graph. So by Lemma 3.12, its average degree is at least $10/3$, a contradiction. \square

Acknowledgment. We would like to thank Jan van den Heuvel for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. ALON, C. McDIARMID, AND B. REED, *Acyclic coloring of graphs*, Random Structures Algorithms, 2 (1991), pp. 277–288.
- [2] N. ALON, B. SUDAKOV, AND A. ZAKS, *Acyclic edge-colorings of graphs*, J. Graph Theory, 37 (2001), pp. 157–167.
- [3] M. BASAVARAJU AND L. S. CHANDRAN, *Acyclic edge coloring of 2-degenerate graphs*, J. Graph Theory (2011), DOI [10.1002/jgt.20559](https://doi.org/10.1002/jgt.20559).
- [4] M. BASAVARAJU AND L. S. CHANDRAN, *Acyclic edge coloring of graphs with maximum degree 4*, J. Graph Theory, 61 (2009), pp. 192–209.

- [5] M. BASAVARAJU, L. S. CHANDRAN, AND M. KUMMINI, *d-regular graphs with acyclic chromatic index at least $d + 2$* , J. Graph Theory, 63 (2010), pp. 226–230.
- [6] M. BOROWIECKI AND A. FIEDOROWICZ, *Acyclic edge coloring of planar graphs without short cycles*, Discrete Math., 310 (2010), pp. 1445–1455.
- [7] M. I. BURNSTEIN, *Every 4-valent graph has an acyclic 5-coloring*, Soobshch. Akad. Nauk Gruz. SSR, 93 (1979), pp. 21–24 (in Russian).
- [9] J. FIAMČIK, *The acyclic chromatic class of a graph*, Math. Slovaca, 28 (1978), pp. 139–145 (in Russian).
- [10] J. FIAMČIK, *Acyclic chromatic index of a graph with maximum valency three*, Arch. Math. (Brno), 16 (1980), pp. 81–88.
- [11] J. FIAMČIK, *Acyclic chromatic index of subdivided graph*, Arch. Math. (Brno), 20 (1984), pp. 69–82.
- [12] A. FIEDOROWICZ, M. HALUSZCZAK, AND N. NARAYANAN, *About acyclic edge coloring of planar graphs*, Inform. Process. Lett., 108 (2008), pp. 412–417.
- [13] J. VAN DEN HEUVEL AND S. MCGUINNESS, *Coloring the square of a planar graph*, J. Graph Theory, 42 (2003), pp. 110–124.
- [14] J. HOU, G. WU, G. LIU, AND B. LIU, *Acyclic edge colorings of planar graphs and series-parallel graphs*, Sci. China Ser. A, 52 (2009), pp. 605–616.
- [15] J.-F. HOU, J.-L. WU, G.-Z. LIU, AND B. LIU, *Acyclic edge chromatic number of outerplanar graphs*, J. Graph Theory, 64 (2010), pp. 22–36.
- [16] M. MOLLOY AND B. REED, *Further algorithmic aspects of the Lovasz local lemma*. in Proceedings of the 30th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, 1998, pp. 524–529.
- [17] R. MUTHU, N. NARAYANAN, AND C. R. SUBRAMANIAN, *Improved bounds on acyclic edge coloring*, Discrete Math., 307 (2007), pp. 3063–3069.
- [18] R. MUTHU, N. NARAYANAN, AND C. R. SUBRAMANIAN, *Acyclic edge coloring of outerplanar graphs*, in Algorithmic Aspects in Information and Management, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci. 4508 Springer, New York, 2008, pp. 144–152.
- [19] J. NEŠETŘIL AND N. C. WORMALD, *The acyclic edge chromatic number of a random d -regular graph is $d + 1$* , J. Graph Theory, 49 (2005), pp. 69–74.
- [20] D. P. SANDERS AND Y. ZHAO, *Planar graphs of maximum degree seven are class I*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 83 (2001), pp. 201–212.
- [21] V. G. VIZING, *Critical graphs with given chromatic index*, Metody Diskret. Analiz, 5 (1965), pp. 9–17 (in Russian).